

L'estratto che stai consultando
fa parte del volume in vendita
su **ShopWKI**,
il negozio online di **Wolters Kluwer**

[Torna al libro](#)



CEDAM

IPSOA

UTET[®]
GIURIDICA

il fisco

 ALTALEX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	pag. XIX
------------------------------------	----------

CHAPTER I

PUNITIVE DAMAGES: FOUNDATIONS TO START WITH

RENÉE CHARLOTTE MEURKENS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	p.	1
2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CIVIL SANCTION IN AMERICAN LAW.....	»	2
2.1. <i>Powerful civil sanction in a civil justice system</i>	»	3
2.2. <i>The truth about excessiveness</i>	»	6
2.3. <i>Punitive damages are generally awarded with great caution</i>	»	9
2.4. <i>Insurability of punitive damages, cause for concern?</i>	»	11
3. REASONS FOR THE NON-EXISTENCE OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES: PROHIBITIVE OBJECTIONS OR NOT?.....	»	12
3.1. <i>Problems relating to the traditional functions of tort law</i>	»	13
3.2. <i>Problems relating to the public-private divide</i>	»	15
3.3. <i>Problems relating to the role of government...</i>	»	18
4. THE INCREASED INTEREST IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES.....	»	19
4.1. <i>Shifts from public to private law enforcement.</i>	»	20
4.2. <i>Calls for powerful civil sanctions</i>	»	21
5. THE <i>STATUS QUO</i> OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES REJECTION.....	»	23
5.1. <i>The European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)</i>	»	24
5.2. <i>The legislator of the European Union</i>	»	25
5.3. <i>The Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)</i>	»	27
6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES.....	»	27

7. CONCLUSION.....	»	29
ABSTRACT.....	»	31

CHAPTER II

PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND THE FUNCTIONS OF REPARATION:
SOME PRELIMINARY REMARKS AFTER THE DECISION
OF THE ITALIAN SUPREME COURT, JOINT DIVISIONS,
5 JULY 2017, NO 16601

GIULIO PONZANELLI

1. THE DECISION OF THE SUPREME COURT, JOINT DIVISIONS, HAS CHANGED THE TREND IN THE ITALIAN CASE LAW ALLO- WING THE ENFORCEMENT OF NORTH AMERICAN JUDG- MENTS AWARDING PUNITIVE DAMAGES: THE STATUS QUO ANTE.....	p.	33
2. THE REASONS FOR THE OVERRULING: <i>A)</i> A NEW INTERPRE- TATION OF THE CONCEPT OF PUBLIC POLICY; <i>B)</i> A NEW IDEN- TIFICATION OF THE INSTITUTION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND <i>C)</i> THE RECOGNITION OF THE SANCTIONING FUNCTION OF NON-CONTRACTUAL LIABILITY.....	»	34
3. THE LEGITIMACY OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES: IDENTIFICATION OF THE LEGISLATOR AS THE DECISION-MAKER.....	»	37
4. COMPENSATION FOR NON-PECUNIARY LOSSES BETWEEN RE- PARATION AND PUNISHMENT	»	37
5. THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE DECISION OF THE JOINT DIVI- SIONS ON THE LEVEL OF COMPENSATION	»	39
6. SOME CONCLUSIONS	»	40
ABSTRACT.....	»	41

CHAPTER III

THE PURPOSE AND OPERATION
OF THE PUBLIC POLICY DEFENCE
AS APPLIED TO PUNITIVE DAMAGES

PIETRO FRANZINA

1. INTRODUCTION.....	p.	43
2. THE <i>RAISON D'ÊTRE</i> OF PUBLIC POLICY	»	45
2.1. <i>In the conflicts of laws</i>	»	46
2.2. <i>In the recognition of judgments</i>	»	50
3. THE OBJECT AND NATURE OF THE ASSESSMENT.....	»	52

3.1.	<i>The effects of the foreign law or judgment concerned in the circumstances of the case</i>	»	52
3.2.	<i>The 'regularity' of the foreign law or judgment in question</i>	»	54
3.2.1.	<i>A matter of 'international', not internal, regularity</i>	»	55
3.2.2.	<i>International standards as part of a State's public policy</i>	»	56
4.	ASSESSING WHETHER THE PUBLIC POLICY DEFENCE OUGHT TO BE RAISED IN A GIVEN SET OF CIRCUMSTANCES	»	58
4.1.	<i>A strict scrutiny</i>	»	58
4.2.	<i>An inherently discretionary assessment</i>	»	59
4.3.	<i>Taming the enfant terrible: some possible guidelines</i>	»	61
4.3.1.	<i>The rank of the rules in which a particular value is enshrined</i>	»	61
4.3.2.	<i>The seriousness of the infringement</i> ...	»	64
4.3.3.	<i>The ties between the situation and the forum</i>	»	65
5.	THE CONSEQUENCES OF RAISING THE DEFENCE.....	»	68
5.1.	<i>In the conflicts of laws</i>	»	68
5.1.1.	<i>The ousting effect</i>	»	68
5.1.2.	<i>The subsidiarily applicable law</i>	»	70
5.2.	<i>In the recognition of judgments</i>	»	71
6.	CONCLUDING REMARKS.....	»	72
	ABSTRACT.....	»	73

CHAPTER IV

PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND
INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

AMÉLIE SKIERKA - SONYA EBERMANN

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	p.	75
2.	THE LAW APPLICABLE TO PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION.....	»	77
2.1.	<i>The law of the arbitral seat and public policy</i>	»	78
2.2.	<i>The substantive law applicable to the dispute</i>	»	80
3.	RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEABILITY OF ARBITRAL AWARDS AND FOREIGN COURT JUDGMENTS GRANTING PUNITIVE DAMAGES	»	82
3.1.	<i>Recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards granting punitive damages</i>	»	84

3.2. <i>Recognition and enforcement of foreign court decisions granting punitive damages</i>	»	89
4. APPLYING A PROPORTIONALITY STANDARD TO THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRAL AWARDS GRANTING PUNITIVE DAMAGES.....	»	95
4.1. <i>Scope for review on the merits of arbitral awards under public policy exception</i>	»	96
4.2. <i>Lack of certainty regarding the definition of proportionality</i>	»	98
4.3. <i>Consequences of a finding of disproportionality</i>	»	101
5. MITIGATING THE RISKS OF DEALING WITH PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION	»	104
6. CONCLUSIONS	»	106
ABSTRACT.....	»	106

CHAPTER V

PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES
'IN DISGUISE' IN INTERNATIONAL
COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

ANTONIO LEANDRO

1. PRELIMINARY REMARKS	p.	109
2. PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND ARBITRATION: SELECTED METHOD OF ANALYSIS AGAINST THE BACKDROP OF THE EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN ARBITRATION AND JUDICIAL FUNCTION.....	»	111
3. CONCURRING CHARACTERIZATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING THE LAW GOVERNING PUNITIVE DAMAGES AS REMEDY OR RELIEF	»	113
4. SETTING ASIDE PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARDS FOR 'INCOMPATIBILITY WITH PUBLIC POLICY'.....	»	115
5. THE PERSPECTIVE OF THE STATE IN WHICH THE ENFORCEMENT OF A PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARD IS SOUGHT.....	»	118
6. PARTIAL CONCLUDING REMARKS	»	119
7. BREACH OF THE OBLIGATION TO ARBITRATE: TRULY PUNITIVE DAMAGES, 'PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN DISGUISE', AND THE RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JUSTICE.....	»	120
8. RECOGNITION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES OR 'PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN DISGUISE' FOR BREACH OF THE ARBITRATION AGREEMENT AND THE BRUSSELS I BIS REGULATION: A) AWARDS	»	123
9. CONTINUED: B) JUDGMENTS	»	125
ABSTRACT.....	»	125

CHAPTER VI

RECOGNITION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES
IN GERMANY AND SWITZERLAND

ASTRID STADLER

1.	INTRODUCTION	p.	127
2.	GERMANY	»	130
2.1.	<i>Service of documents – Hague Service Convention</i>	»	130
2.2.	<i>Legal basis for the recognition of non-EU judgments</i>	»	134
2.3.	<i>Punitive damages before the German Federal High Court</i>	»	136
2.3.1.	<i>Applicability of rules on recognition of judgments in a ‘civil and commercial matter’</i>	»	137
2.3.2.	<i>Public policy objection: no recognition of ‘real’ punitive damages in Germany</i>	»	139
2.3.3.	<i>Partial recognition of US punitive damages awards</i>	»	140
2.4.	<i>Developments in US and German law since 1992</i>	»	141
2.4.1.	<i>Restrictions on punitive damages in the US and their effects</i>	»	141
2.4.2.	<i>Deterrence and punishment as complementary functions of German tort law</i>	»	142
2.4.3.	<i>Trends towards accepting deterrence as a function of damages awards in particular legal fields</i>	»	143
2.4.3.1.	<i>Defamation cases</i>	»	144
2.4.3.2.	<i>Insurance law</i>	»	144
2.4.3.3.	<i>Labour law and antidiscrimination law</i>	»	145
2.4.3.4.	<i>Intellectual property law</i>	»	145
2.4.3.5.	<i>Antitrust law and unfair competition law</i>	»	146
2.4.4.	<i>Are statutory damages the new punitive damages?</i>	»	147
2.4.4.1.	<i>District Court Leipzig: non-recognition of excessively high statutory damages</i>		

	<i>awards in an intellectual property infringement case</i>	»	147
	2.4.4.2. <i>Statutory damages in the US and German 'ordre public'</i> ...	»	148
	2.5. <i>Summary</i>	»	149
3.	SWITZERLAND	»	150
	3.1. <i>Legal basis for recognition</i>	»	150
	3.2. <i>Case law and professional literature</i>	»	150
	3.3. <i>Compensatory and deterrent function of Swiss tort law?</i>	»	152
4.	CONCLUSION	»	153
	ABSTRACT	»	153

CHAPTER VII

RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT
OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN FRANCE

OLIVERA BOSKOVIC

1.	INTRODUCTION	p.	155
2.	THE SOLUTION	»	158
	2.1. <i>No violation per se</i>	»	159
	2.2. <i>The conditions of violation of international public policy</i>	»	161
3.	THE QUESTIONS	»	164
	3.1. <i>A general solution?</i>	»	164
	3.2. <i>The consequences of excessiveness</i>	»	167
	ABSTRACT	»	171

CHAPTER VIII

RECOGNITION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES
IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

ALEX MILLS

1.	INTRODUCTION	p.	173
2.	PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN UK PRIVATE LAW	»	175
	2.1. <i>Punitive damages in English private law</i>	»	175
	2.2. <i>Punitive damages in Scottish private law</i>	»	182
3.	PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN JUDGMENTS	»	183
	3.1. <i>Judgments for a 'penalty'</i>	»	184
	3.2. <i>Public policy</i>	»	188

4.	PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE APPLICATION OF FOREIGN LAW	»	192
4.1.	<i>The substance/procedure distinction</i>	»	193
4.2.	<i>Public policy</i>	»	196
5.	CONCLUSIONS	»	198
	ABSTRACT	»	198

CHAPTER IX

RECOGNITION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN ITALY

GIACOMO BIAGIONI

1.	PRELIMINARY REMARKS	p.	201
2.	DOMESTIC LAW FRAMEWORK	»	203
3.	RECOGNITION OF FOREIGN JUDGMENTS AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES	»	205
4.	THE EVOLUTION IN THE CASE-LAW OF THE ITALIAN SUPREME COURT	»	208
5.	ANALYSIS	»	210
5.1.	<i>The interpretation of the foreign judgment</i>	»	211
5.1.1.	<i>Condemnation to punitive damages and other punitive or deterring measures</i>	»	213
5.1.2.	<i>The determination of the punitive or deterring function of foreign civil liability measures</i>	»	214
5.2.	<i>Compatibility with public policy</i>	»	217
5.2.1.	<i>Public policy and protection of public values</i>	»	220
5.2.2.	<i>The sources of public policy</i>	»	223
5.3.	<i>Requirements for recognition of foreign judgments awarding punitive damages</i>	»	226
5.3.1.	<i>Legality and predictability</i>	»	228
5.3.2.	<i>Proportionality</i>	»	229
6.	PUNITIVE DAMAGES AS A POSSIBLE OUTCOME OF THE APPLICATION OF FOREIGN LAW	»	231
	ABSTRACT	»	233

CHAPTER X

A HELICOPTER OVERVIEW OF THE RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES

CEDRIC VANLEENHOVE

1.	INTRODUCTION	p.	235
----	--------------------	----	-----

2.	SPAIN: RECEPTIVE ATTITUDE IN 2001 SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT <i>MILLER V ALABASTRES</i>	»	236
3.	CLASSIFICATION OF THE INVESTIGATED COUNTRIES.....	»	239
4.	WHO IS RIGHT?.....	»	241
5.	EXCESSIVENESS TEST: HOW MUCH IS TOO MUCH?.....	»	249
6.	CONCLUSION.....	»	252
	ABSTRACT.....	»	252

CHAPTER XI

TOWARDS A EUROPEAN CONCEPT OF PUBLIC POLICY
REGARDING PUNITIVE DAMAGES?

WOLFGANG WURMNEST

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	p.	253
2.	THE CONCEPT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES.....	»	255
3.	EUROPEAN INFLUENCES ON PUBLIC POLICY.....	»	257
3.1.	<i>The European Convention on Human Rights</i> ..	»	257
3.1.1.	<i>Principle of proportionality as yardstick</i>	»	257
3.1.2.	<i>Awarding punitive damages under the Convention?</i>	»	259
3.1.3.	<i>Conclusion</i>	»	262
3.2.	<i>European private law</i>	»	263
3.2.1.	<i>Enforcement of EU rights through national law: the principle of effectiveness</i>	»	264
3.2.2.	<i>Remedies of European secondary law</i>	»	268
3.2.3.	<i>Conclusion</i>	»	270
3.3.	<i>European private international law</i>	»	271
3.3.1.	<i>The rules on public policy in the Rome II Regulation</i>	»	271
3.3.2.	<i>Punitive damages disputes as 'civil and commercial matters'</i>	»	271
3.3.3.	<i>The dispute around the qualifier 'punitive damages of an excessive nature'</i>	»	272
3.3.4.	<i>Conclusion</i>	»	275
3.4.	<i>Drawing the strings together</i>	»	276
4.	THE COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE	»	277
4.1.	<i>Partial recognition and enforcement (severability)</i>	»	278
4.2.	<i>Enforcement of the punitive part of the judgment</i>	»	280
4.3.	<i>The black box: testing for 'excessive' damages</i>	»	282

4.4. 'Downscaling' excessive punitive damages? ...	»	283
5. CONCLUSION.....	»	285
ABSTRACT.....	»	286

CHAPTER XII

TOWARDS THE EUROPEANIZATION OF PUBLIC POLICY
REGARDING PUNITIVE DAMAGES:
AN INQUIRY BETWEEN THEORY AND PRACTICE

ORNELLA FERACI

1. INTRODUCTION: ABOUT THE DIFFICULTY OF OBSERVING AN INCOMPLETE STOP-MOTION SEQUENCE OF AN 'UNRULY GALLOPING HORSE'	p.	287
2. THE METHODOLOGY	»	290
3. THE THEORY: THE NEED FOR A RE-CONCEPTUALIZATION OF THE PUBLIC POLICY EXCEPTION?	»	291
3.1. <i>The interplay between the EU public policy and the national public policy</i>	»	293
3.1.1. <i>Integration (and primacy) v coexistence (and subsidiarity)</i>	»	294
3.2. <i>Negative and 'positive' function of the EU public policy</i>	»	299
3.3. <i>In search of fundamental principles of EU public policy</i>	»	301
3.3.1. <i>a) in the EU secondary law in light of the ECJ's case law: from Manfredi to Kablova case</i>	»	303
3.3.2. <i>b) in the EU primary law: the principles of legality and proportionality</i> ...	»	304
3.4. <i>The consequences of its application: full or partial refusal of enforcement</i>	»	309
3.5. <i>The impact of the EU public policy regarding punitive damages in the field of conflict-of-laws (Rome II)</i>	»	311
3.6. <i>The scope of the UE public policy towards punitive damages: the proximity criterion</i>	»	313
4. THE PRACTICE: THE 2017 ITALIAN SUPREME COURT'S JUDGMENT AS A CASE STUDY	»	315
4.1. <i>A first level of interpretation</i>	»	316
4.2. <i>A second level of interpretation</i>	»	318
5. CONCLUSION.....	»	319
ABSTRACT.....	»	320

L'estratto che stai consultando
fa parte del volume in vendita
su **ShopWKI**,
il negozio online di **Wolters Kluwer**

[Torna al libro](#)



CEDAM

IPSOA

UTET[®]
GIURIDICA

il fisco

 ALTALEX